

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10

11 JOSE MAURO SALAZAR-GARZA,

12 Petitioner,

13 v.

14 FERETIA SEMAIA, et al.,

15 Respondents.  
16

Case No. 2:25-cv-05324-SB-RAO

**ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT  
AND RECOMMENDATION OF  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE  
JUDGE**

17 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition for  
18 Emergency Writ of Habeas Corpus brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (Dkt. No. 1),  
19 Respondent's answer to the petition (Dkt. No. 9), the Report and Recommendation  
20 of United States Magistrate Judge (Dkt. No. 12), Petitioner's objection to the report  
21 (Dkt. No. 13), and all related records and files.

22 Petitioner's conclusory objections—stated in one paragraph—do not raise  
23 new or additional arguments and lack merit for the reasons stated in the Report and  
24 Recommendation. In his objections, Petitioner requests a bond hearing as an  
25 alternative to release, citing “new circumstances,” but he does not identify any new  
26 circumstance that would warrant a hearing. This request is therefore denied.

27 Accordingly, the Court accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and  
28 recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. The Petition is denied, and judgment

1 shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.

2  
3 DATED: November 12, 2025



---

4 Stanley Blumenfeld, Jr.  
5 United States District Judge  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28